

## FDI EURASIAN CHALLENGE

a cura di Leonardo Manzari e Valeria Giannotta

## Abstract

Since entrepreneurs have different goals in establishing their direct presence- commercial or industrial- in a foreign country, the aim of this E.İ.E.A.D study is to be a handbook for all investors and businessperson approaching the southern Euro-Asian region. With the support of some institutional and dedicated sources - selected upon the quality of their website and on the objectivity of the provided information - the work summarizes the empirical evidence on the determinants of Foreign Direct Investment in some Countries namely Azerbaijan; Armenia; Iran; Israel; Lebanon and Turkey. Along a brief overview of each selected Country, throughout the analysis of local incentives and the current legal and economic forms of FDI within the specific institutional and fiscal frame combined to a look at the main regulations and restrictions, the main core of the study is a comparative analysis based on a clustering of variables:

(1) Competent agencies for FDI and co-financing
opportunities
(2) Investment Law (year of approval)
(3).Economic Forms of FDIs
(4) Legal form of FDIs
(5) Access for FDI to land ownership
(6)Fiscal Regime:
(7) Custom Duties Special Zones for FDIs;
(8) Special Status Companies Other incentives
(9) Special Fiscal regime for FDI
(10) Other Incentives
(11) Priority Sectors for FDI
(12)Foreign exchange transactions
(13) Nr of activities/sectors under licensing
(14) Existance of privatization authorities&regulation
for national privatization programmes
(15) Bilateral Investment Promotion and Protection
Treaties
(16) Customs duties /Free Trade Agreements or
Memberships
(17) International Arbitration is accepted YES/NO
(18) Protection of intellectual property
(19) Quality level of local employment
Law/Regulation
(20) Ease of access of foreign nationals to work for
FDI companies
(21) Completeness and depth of info on FDİ
Promotion Agency official website

E.I.E.A.D. European Institute for EurAsian Dialogue



The methodology adopted to carry out the research follow a regular scheme, as it is shown below for the Category 1 and adopted for all the others:

## ex:

Category 1: competent agencies for FDI and the co-financing opportunities (Factor 1); the investment law (Factor 2) and the completeness of info available on official Agency Promotion website (Factor 21)

Table 2. Factor 1;2;21

Azerbaijan	Armenia	Iran	Israel	Lebanon	Turkey	
1) Competent ag	encies for FDI and co-financi	ng opportunities: lowest num	nber of competent agencies; I	evel of skill of counterparts; c	apillarity on the territor	
6	1 (2 divisions)	2 (13 FDI Centers)	1 (3 departments)	1 (4 directorates)	1	
		2) Investment Law (yea	ar of approval): most recent la	iws		
2000	1994	2002	1959-1984-2005-2010	2001	2003; 2012; 2016	
	21) Website of Investment F	Promotion Agency: Complete	ness, detail of info available,	userfriendliness of website, g	raphics	
www.azpromo.a	z/ www.mineconomy.am	http://www.investiniran.i	r/ www.investinisrael.gov.il/	www.investinlebanon.gov.l	b/ www.invest.gov.tr/	

	Azerbaijan	Armenia	Iran	Israel	Lebanon	Turkey
Ranking. 1	5	2	4	2	3	1

Table 3. Ranking Factor 1

	Azerbaijan	Armenia	Iran	Israel	Lebanon	Turkey
Ranking. 2	5	6	3	2	4	1

Table 4. Ranking Factor 2

						- 4	
Ranking. 21	4	5	3	2	1	2	
						i	

Table 5. Ranking Factor 21







Total Ranking.	14	13	10	6	8	4
1;2;21					1	

Table 6. Total 1;2;21

Furthermore, by ranking each analytical category, taken as leading discriminant for FDI and combining it to a specific value throughout a specific weighted average system the final summing up not only shows the best performing Country, but it gives an outlook on the importance of each category at its best.

	Azerbaijan	Armenia	Iran	Israel	Lebanon	Turkey
Total Ranking. 1.2.21	14	13	10	6	8	4
Weight 5%	0.7	0.65	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.2

Table 26. Weighted category1



